



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/692,270	10/23/2003	David J. Kolacz	4578 P 020	7706
7590	08/02/2006		EXAMINER	
Daniel N Christus Esq Wallenstein Wagner & Rockey Ltd 311 South Wacker Drive 53rd Floor Chicago, IL 60606-6630			NGUYEN, DINH Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
DATE MAILED: 08/02/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/692,270	KOLACZ ET AL.
	Examiner Dinh Q. Nguyen	Art Unit 3752

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 April 2006.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-4,6,10,14 and 16-26 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 6,10,14,16-20,23 and 24 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 21,22,25 and 26 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date .
4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ .
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: ____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 6, 10, 14, 16, 17, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans et al. in view of McMillan et al.

Evans discloses a monitor housing comprising: a plurality of folding legs 6; a pivoting fluid conduit joint having a socket 2, a ball 11 and a trunnion 18 joining the socket 2 (see figure 4). Evans et al does not teach a valve handle. However, McMillan discloses a monitor housing having a plurality of folding legs 33, a valve handle 61. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the device of Evans et al with a valve handle as suggested by McMillan. Doing so would provide a way to control the flowing of water to the monitor from the water source (see column 2, lines 1+).

3. Claims 16, 18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McMillan et al. in view of Gorter

McMillan et al. teaches all the limitations of the claims except for a ball and socket pivoting fluid conduit joint with the socket is located downstream from the ball. However, Gorter discloses a monitor housing having a pivoting fluid conduit joint having a socket F located downstream from the ball D (see figure 4). Therefore, it would have

been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the device of McMillan with a ball and socket pivoting fluid conduit joint with the socket is located downstream from the ball as suggested by Gorter. Doing so would provide a versatile flexible fluid joint.

4. Claims 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McMillan et al. in view of Hanes.

McMillan et al. teaches all the limitations of the claims except for a second socket fixed to the first ball, and a second ball. However, Hanes discloses a pivoting fluid conduit joint having a second socket 11 fixed to the first ball 10, and a second ball 10 (see figure 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the device of McMillan with a second socket fixed to the first ball, and a second ball as suggested by Hanes. Doing so would provide a versatile flexible fluid joint (see column 1, lines 40+).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-4 are
6. Claims 21, 22, 25, 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 4/14/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6, 10, 14, 16-20, 23, and 24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dinh Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Dinh Q Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3752

dqn